IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Bobby Gene Gracy,)	
)	C/A No. 9:18-1311-TMC
	Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Warden Antonelli,)	
)	
	Respondent.)	
	-)	

Petitioner Bobby Gene Gracy, a pro se federal prisoner, filed this habeas action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. On July 13, 2018, Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report") recommending that the Petition be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. (ECF No. 8).1 Petitioner was advised of his right to file objections to the Report. (ECF No. 8 at 3). However, Petitioner has not filed any objections to the Report and the time for doing so has expired.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file

¹ In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2), D.S.C., all pre-trial proceedings were referred to a magistrate judge.

specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal the

district court's judgment based upon that recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v.

Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court adopts the

Magistrate Judge's Report (ECF No. 8) and incorporates it herein. Accordingly, this action is

DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41. See Ballard v. Carlson, 882 F.2d 93, 95-

96 (4th Cir. 1989).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Timothy M. Cain United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina August 1, 2018

2